

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOC	ET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/328,183	06/08/1999		OVERTON L. PARISH IV	27889-0003	27889-00037 6471	
23932	7590	07/08/2004			EXAMINER	
JENKENS (•	MICHALSKY, GERALD A				
1445 ROSS A SUITE 3200	<u>:</u>	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, T	2	3753				

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A P At	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/328,183	PARISH IV ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Gerald A. Michalsky	h the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tte, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 or 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 2b or 2b or	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
 4)	/are withdrawn from conside	ration.
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 08 June 1999 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination	a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been i au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/328,183 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

1. The drawings are objected to because the numerals, lettering, and lines are poor, and Figures 1-6 have border lines which should not be included. Formal drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant should insert the reference, --This application claims the benefit of provisional application Serial No. 60/088,428, filed June 8, 1998.--, before the first line of the specification. "Fig. 1d" and numeral "21e", mentioned in line 9 of the replacement paragraph at pages 7-8 filed June 18, 2003, cannot be found on the drawing. On page 14, line 7, "1" should be -2--.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Application/Control Number: 09/328,183

Art Unit: 3753

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1, 3-4, 8-11, 21-37, and 39 herein are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 6-11, 17-18, and 22-27 of copending Application Serial No. 10/328,438. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they do not support separate patents. Figure 1 has been elected both herein and also in application Serial No. 10/328,438. Therefore, the claims being considered herein, and the claims being considered in Serial No. 10/328,438 are not patentably distinct.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6. Claims 5-7, 12-13, and 38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 21 August 2000.

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald A. Michalsky whose telephone number is (703) 308-1049. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM - 2 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (703) 308-1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gerald A. Michalsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753